THE ASSYRIAN KING LIST FROM KHORSABAD—Concluded*

JNES 2 (1943)

THE KINGS OF ASSYRIA ACCORDING TO THE KING-LIST TRADITION*

Official Reign

Limmu Period

- 1. Tudia
- Adamu
 langi
- 4. kırlâmu
- 5. Harharu
- 6. Mandaru
- 7. Imsu
- 8. HARSU
- 9. Didânu
- 10. Hanû
- 11. Zuabu
- 12. Nuabu
- 13. Abâzu
- 14. TILIÛ
- 15. Asarah
- 16. Ušpia
- 17. Apiašal, son of Ušpia
- 18. Halû,¹ son of Apiašal
- Samánu, son of Halû¹
- 20. Ha(į)janu, son of Samanu
- 21. Ilu-Mer, son of Ha(i)janu
- 22. Iakmesi, son of Ilu-Mer
- 23. Jakmeni, son of Jakmesi

^{*} With corrections and additions from contemporary sources. The notes accompanying this list appear at the end of the list.

THE KINGS OF ASSYRIA ACCORDING TO THE KING-LIST TRADITION-Continued

	Official Reign		Limmu Period		
24. Iazkur-ilu, son of Iakmeni	•	THOUGH THOUGH	21 mms P G 10G		
25. Ilu-kapkapi, son of Jazkur-ilu					
26. Aminu, son of Ilu-kapkapi					
27. Sulili, son of Aminu					
28. Kikkia			•		
29. Akia			•		
30. Pusur-Aššúr I					
31. Šallim-ahhê,² son of Puzur-Aššûr I			•		
32. Ilusumma, son of Sallim-ahhê		-1853			
33. Ērišu I, son of Ilušumma	40	1852-1813			
34. Ikûnu, son of Êrišu I		1812-			
35. Šarru-kin, son of Ikūnu					
36. Pusur-Aššúr II, son of Šarru-kin I					
37. Narám-Sin, son of Puzur-Aššúr II		**			
38. Ērišu II, son of Narām-Sin		-1727	· •		
39. Šamši-Adad I, son of Ilu-kapkapi	33	1726-1694			
40. Išme-Dagān, son of Šamši-Adad I	40	1693-1654			
41. Aššūr-dugul, son of a "nobody"	6	1653-1648			
42. Aššūr-apla-idi, son of a nobody	0	1648			
43. Nāṣir-Sin, son of a nobody	0	1648			
44. Sin-namir, son of a nobody	0	1648			
45. Ipqi-Ištar, son of a nobody	0	1648			
46. Adad-salûlu, son of a nobody	0	1648			
47. Adasi, son of a nobody	ō	1648			
48. Bêlu-bâni, son of Adasi	10	1647-1638			
49. Libajiu	17	1637-1621			
50. Šarma-Adad I	12	1620-1609			
51. ÈN-TAR-Sîn, son of Šarma-Adad I	12	1608-1597			
52. Bazzajiu, son of Bêlu-bâni	28	1596-1569			
53. Lullajiu, son of a nobody	6	1568-1563			
54. šú-Nînua, son of Bazaiju	14	1562-1549			
55. Šarma-Adad II, son of šú-Ninua	3	1548-1546			
56. Ērišu III, son of šú-Ninua	13	1545-1533			
57. Šamši-Adad II, son of Ērišu III	6	1532-1527			
58. Išme-Dagan II, son of Šamši-					
Adad II	16	1526-1511			
59. Šamši-Adad III, son of Išme-Dagân,					
son of šu-Ninua	16	1510-1495			
60. Aššûr-neràri I, son of Išme-Dagân II	26	1494-1469			
61. Puzur-Aššûr III, son of Aššûr-					
nerâri I	143	1468-1455			
62. Enlil-nasir I, son of Puzur-Aššûr III	13	1454-1442			
63. Nûr-ili, son of Enlil-nâşir I	12	1441-1430			
64. Aššûr-šadûni, son of Nûr-ili	04	1430			
65. Aššūr-rabi I, son of Enlil-nâşir I	Õ	1430			
66. Aššûr-nâdin-ahhê I, son of Aššûr-	•				
rabi I ^a	0	1430			
67. Enlil-nâşir II, son of Aššûr-rabi	6	1429-1424			
	•	. 100 1101			

THE KINGS OF ASSYRIA ACCORDING TO THE KING-LIST TRADITION-Continued

		Offic	dal Reign	Limi	nu Period
68.	Aššûr-nerâri II, son of Aššûr-rabi I7	7	1423-1417		
	Aššûr-bêl-nišêšu, son of Adad-nerâri II	9	1416-1408		
70.	Aššúr-rím-nišêšu, son of Adad-nerári II*	8	1407-1400		
	Aššûr-nâdin-ahhê, II, son of Aššûr-				
	rim-nišėšu	10	1399-1390		
72.	Erîba-Adad I, son of Aššûr-bêl-				
	nišė̃šu	27	1389-1363		
73.	Aššūr-uballit I, son of Erfba-Adad I	36	1362-1327		
74.	Enlil-nerári, son of Aššúr-uballit I	10	1326-1317		
75.	Arik-dên-ili, son of Enlil-nerâri	12	1316-1305		
76.	Adad-nerâri I, son of Arik-dên-ili	32	1304-1273		
77.	Šulmānu-ašarēd I, son of Adad-				
	nerâri I	30	1272-1243		
78.	Tukulti-Ninurta I, son of Šulmānu-				
		37	1 24 2–1 20 6		
79.	Aššūr-nādin-apli, son of Tukulti-				
	Ninurta I	310	1205-1203		
8 0.	Aššūr-nerāri III, son of Aššūr-nāṣir-				
	apli ¹¹	6	1202-1197		
81.	Enlil-kudurra-uşur, son of Tukulti-	_	1100 1100		
00	Ninurta I	5	1196-1192		
		1312	1191-1179		
ю.	Aššūr-dân I, son of Ninurta-apil- Ekur	4 6	1178-1133		
84	Ninurta-tukulti-Aššûr, son of Aššûr-	40	1116-1199		
O4.	dân I	0	1133		
25	Mutakkil-Nusku, son of Aššûr-dân I	Ô	1133		
	Aššūr-rėša-iši I, son of Mutakkil-	Ū			
٠٠٠.		18	1132-1115		
87.	Tukulti-apil-Ešarra I, son of Aššûr-	••	1102 1110		
٠	· ·	39	1114-1076		
88.	Ašarėd-apil-Ekur, son of Tukulti-				
٠	apil-Ešarra I	2	1075-1074	213	1074-1073
89.	Aššūr-bėl-kala, son of Tukulti-apil-	-		_	
		18	1073-1056		1072–
90.	Erîba-Adad II, son of Aššûr-bêl-kala		1055-1054		· ·
	Šamši-Adad IV, son of Tukulti-apil-				
	Ešarra I	4	1053-1050		- 1049
92.	Aššûr-nâşir-apil I, son of Šamši-Adad				
		19	1049-1031 1	914	1048-1030
93.	Šulmānu-ašarēd II, son of Aššūr-				
	nâşir-apli I	12	1030-1019	214	1029-1018
94.	Aššūr-nerāri IV, son of Šulmānu-				
	ašarėd II	6	1018-1013	614	1017-1012
95.	Aššūr-rabi II, son of Aššūr-nāṣir-				
	apli I	41	1012-972		1011-
96.	Aššûr-rêša-iši II, son of Aššûr-rabi II	5	971-967		

THE KINGS OF ASSYRIA ACCORDING TO THE KING-LIST TRADITION-Continued

	Off.	Official Reign		Limmu Period	
97. Tukulti-apil-Ešarra II, son of Aššûr	r -				
rėša-iši II	32	966-93515	3316	965-93316	
98. Aššûr-dân II, son of Tukulti-api	1-				
Ešarra II	23	934-912		•	
99. Adad-nerâri II, son of Aššur-dân I		911-891		-890	
100. Tukulti-Ninurta II, son of Adac					
nerāri II	7	890-884	717	889-883	
101. Aššûr-nâşir-apli II, son of Tukult					
Ninurta II	25	883-859	2518	882-858	
102. Šulmānu-ašarēd III, son of Aššû					
násir-apli II	35	858-824	3519	857-823	
103. Šamši-Adad V, son of Šulmānu					
ašarėd III	. 13	823-811	13	822-810	
104. Adad-nerâri III, son of Šamši		D10 #00		000 2 00	
Adad V	. 28	810-783	282	809782	
105. Šulmānu-ašarēd IV, son of Adad			••		
nerāri III	10	782-773	10	781–772	
106. Aššūr-dân III, son of Adad-nerâri II		772-755	18	771-754	
107. Aššūr-nerāri V, son of Adad-nerār					
III	10	754-74521	1022	753-74422	
108. Tukulti-apil-Ešarra III, son of					
Adad-nerâri III	1823	744-72723	2024	743-724**	
109. Šulmānu-ašarēd V, son of Tukulti					
apil-Ešarra III	5	726–722	425	723-72025	
110. Šarrukin II, son of Tukulti-apil					
Ešarra III ²⁸	17	721-705	3227	719-68827	
111. Sîn-aḥḥê-erîba, son of Šarrukîn II	2428	704-681	$38+x^{29}$	$687 - 650 - x^{29}$	
112. Aššūr-aha-iddina, son of Sîn-ahhê					
erîba	12	680-669	_		
113. Aššûr-bâni-apli, son of Aššûr-aḥa					
iddina	$36(-x)^{20}$	$668-633(-x)^{30}$	_		
114. Aššûr-etel-ilâni, son of Aššûr-bâni					
apli ³¹	$4(+y)^{32}$	632(-x)-629(-x-x)	y)		
115. Sîn-šumu-lîšir ²³	$0(+z?)^{34}$				
116. Sîn-šarra-iškun, son of Aššûr-bâni					
· apli	$7+x+5^{35}$	$(x_{+})623-612$			
117. Aššūr-uballiţ II ³⁷	$4^{37}(+y?)$	$611-608^{37}(-y)^{37}$	38		

NOTES TO LIST OF KINGS

- 1. King list (Khorsahad): Halê (apparently genitive form).
- 2. Inscriptions: Ša-lim-a-hu-um.
- 3. Preserved only in Nassouhi list.
- 4. King list: 1 month.
- 6. The Khorsabad list, to date the only source for Enili-nāşir II's genealogy, has aḥū-šū, "his (= Aššūr-nādin-aḥḥē I's) brother." On Aššūr-nādin-aḥḥē I's father, see preceding note.
- 7. So according to inscriptions of Aššūr-rim-niščšu, Eriba-Adad I, and Aššūr-uballit I. The Khorsabad list erroneously makes Aššūr-nerāri II the son (instead of the brother) of his predecessor Enlii-nāṣir.

- 8. So according to Aššūr-rim-nišēšu's own inscription. King list erroneously: "son (instead of brother) of Aššūr-bēl-nišēšu."
- So according to Adad-nerāri I's own inscriptions and the Nassouhi list. Khorsabad list erroneously: "brother of Arik-dēn-ili."
 - 10. Nassouhi list: 4.
 - 11. Nassouhi list: son of Alsûr-nadin-apli.
 - 12. So Nassouhi list; Khorsabad list: 3.
 - 13. KAVI, No. 21 ff., col. 3 (period summary).
 - Ibid., col. 4 (period summary).
 - 15. End of Namouhi list.
 - 16. KAVI, No. 21 ff., col. 5 (period summary).
- Concluded from inscription of Tukulti-Ninurta plus Canon II; Canon II (evidently by mistake omitting one limmu): 6.
- So according to Canons I plus II; KAVI, No. 21 ff., col. 6 (period summary), probably omitting one limmu. 24.
- 19. Rm. 580 omits the 6th limmu. Canon I divides Šulmānu-ašarēd III's limmu period into a first period of 30 years (857-828) and a second of 5 years (827-823).
 - 20. Canon III, owing to the insertion of a supernumerary limmu, probably enumerated 29 limmu's,
 - 21. End of Khorsabad list.
- 22. So KAVI, No. 21 ff., col. 8 (period summary), Canon III and Canon II. Canon I and the eponym chronicles: 8 (753-746).
- 23. So according to the old traditional system of counting the regnal years. According to Tukulti-apil-Ešarra's own counting (including his accession year): 19 (745-727).
- 24. So KAVI, No. 21 ff., col. 8; Canon III; and apparently Canon II. The eponym chronicles and Canon I: 18 (745-728).
- 25. So KAVI, No. 21 ff., col. 8 (period summary) and Canon III. The eponym chronicles and Canon I: 5 (727-723).
- 26. So according to the fayence plaque of Šarrukin, published by Unger in Publications des Musées d'Antiquités de Stamboul IX.
 27. So evidently KAVI, No. 21 ff., cols. 8 and 9 (period summary) and Canon III. Canon I (and evidently
- also Canon II and the eponym chronicles): 17 (722-706). Canon IV probably 17 (723-707).

 28. According to another system found in several business documents with double datings: 25 (705-681) or
- rather 24 (705-682).
- 29. Canon I and evidently Canon II and 82-5-22, 121:24 (705-682); Canon IV: probably 24 (704-681).
 30. To date, the latest tablets from Aššūr-bāni-apli's reign—as far as I know—are Krückmann, NRVT (1933), No. 132, dated: Nippurhi mak Uidlu Ue-17-kam mu-36-kam man-šān-bāni-apli šār kiššāti, and No. 13, dated: Nippurhi mak U. ue-..-kam mu-36-kam man-šān-bāni-apli šār ...]. Other tablets from Nippur dated in the 26th (Clay, BE VIII 1, No. 1), the 31st (Contemp. TC XII, No. 5), the 32 + ...] (Krückmann, op.

cit., No. 36) and the 34(?)th year (ibid., No. 37). The utmost limit for x would be 5 years (see n. 35).

- 31. According to KAVI, No. 182, col. 4, l. 7, immediate successor of Aššūr-bāni-apli.
- 32. To date, the latest tablet from Assûr-etel-lâni's reign is Clay, BE VIII 1, No. 5, dated: Nippurusi Arabaamaa v-1-kam Mu-4-kam Assûr = an-āka)-etel = nin-aal-lâni's ât mât Assûrs. Other tablets from Nippur are dated in the 2d (Clay, op. ett., Nos. 4 and 6) and the 3d year (Krückmann, op. ett., Nos. 104 and 38; cf. also No. 35, l. 11, for which see n. 34). The utmost limit for y would be 5 years (see n. 35). Unfortunately BE VIII 1, No. 141, dated in the accession year of Sin-sumu-likir omits the month in the date and therefore can give us no hint—by means of a comparison with BE VIII 1, No. 5, which is dated in the first day of the 8th month of Assur-etel-lâni's 4th year—as to whether this year could have been the last year of Assur-etel-lâni. Nor is it possible to calculate a sufficiently definite date from the fact that in the contract delivery of sheep in the month of Ulûlu is stipulated. Possibly the contract was made in the year preceding that in which delivery was to be made; in this case the contract could have been made in any of the seven months from Ulûlu to Adar of the year in which Sin-sumu-likir ascended the throne. Note that TC XII, No. 14, is dated in the 11th month of Sin-sarra-iškun's accession year, and that, if Assur-etel-lâni's 4th year actually should be his last, and furthermore should be identical with Sin-sarra-iškun's accession year, the reign of Sin-sumu-likir, as it is attested to date, could well have covered part of the time between the 8th and the 11th month.
- 33. To date, the only evidence for Sin-šumu-lišir as king of Aššūr is the New York Metropolitan Museum tablet, Clay, BE VIII 1, No. 141, dated (with omission of the month): N'ipur*i 10r-13-xam MU-SAG-NAM-DUGAL-LA 14 Sin-šumu-lišir ("*xxx-mu-si-sā) ið **abi-šur*i. His position between Aššūr-tel-ilāni and Sin-šarra-iškun (both sons of Aššūr-bāni-apli) follows from the simple deliberation that if he belongs in this period there is no other place for him than between the two kings just referred to. The donation documents of Aššūr-tel-ilāni, Johns, ADD, Nos. 649 and 650, show that a Sin-šumu-lišir ("*xxx-mu-si-sā and *xxx-mu-si-who by his paternal care for the young prince as well as by his political and military activity (perhaps he was even instrumental in bringing about the king's accession to the throne) had deserved well of Aššūr-tel-ilāni and for this reason had acquired his special favor—at that time was an eminent personage in Assyria. It is therefore extremely likely that this Sin-šumu-lišir and the king Sin-šumu-lišir are identical. For this reason—as well as because according to the synchronistic king list KAVI, No. 182, Aššūr-tel-ilāni. On the other hand no king of Assyria could have been recognized as ruler in the Babylonian city Nippur after Sin-šarra-līškun, since in the last year of this king's reign (612) Nineveh and Assyria proper were conquered and since in that year the seat

of the Assyrian kingdom was removed to Harran. Moreover, Assyrian domination over southern Babylonia (Uruk and Nippur) must already have come to an end before 616, the year with which the chronicle published by Gadd begins. Also note that there is no inscriptional evidence that Sin-šarra-škun was the immediate successor of Aššūr-etel-ilāni.

- 34. In the absence of any pertinent evidence it is, of course, impossible to say whether Sin-šumu-lišir's reign extended beyond his accession year or whether he ruled only a short time—possibly only one or several months or even a few days—within that year. At least an indication that Sin-šumu-lišir's reign lasted only a short time may be seen in the fact that the tablet Krückmann, NRVT, No. 35, enumerates four silver claims dating from the 2d year of Sh-šarra-iškun, one claim dating from Sin-šarra-iškun's accession year and one claim dating from the 3d year of Aššūr-etel-išani (ar-šān-nts-one) or sh-šarra-iškun's accession year and one claim dating from the 3d year of Aššūr-etel-išani (ar-šān-nts-one) are shell in the shel
- 35. To date the latest certain Sin-sarra-iškun date is that of the tablet King, ZA IX, 396 ff.: 14 Urukë and febits u-12-kam mu-7-kam 45in-sarra-iškun (4xxx-lugal-oan-un) šār and (4-)šur. The date of Contenau TC XII, No. 16: Urukë and Uruke u-1-kam mu-7-kam Mu-7-kam Ku-7-kam (4xxx-lugal-oan) cannot be correct, since even in case Asign-bani-apii ruled only 36 years (until 633) and Asign-etel-liani ruled only 4 years (until 629), the period left for Sin-sarra-iškun's reign, of which we know that it ended in 612, would extend over no more than 17 years. Since all other Sin-sarra-iškun tablets, all of which come from Babylonia, are dated within the years 0-7-in addition to ZA IX, 396 ff., cf. the Uruk tablet, TA XII, No. 14: Year 0; the Sippar tablets, VS VI, No. 2, and Evetts, Inscriptions of the Reigns of Enil-Merodach etc., Appendix, No. 1: Year 2; and the Nippur tablets, Krückmann, NRVT, Nos. 39 and 35: Year 2 (in the latter text also references to Aššūr-etellani, Year 3, and Sin-sarra-iškun, Year 0); TC XII, No. 15: Year 5, and Krückmann, op. cit., Nos. 41 and 42: Year 6—the 21 of TC XII, No. 16, may perbape be misread for 3.

The 7 years attested for Sin-šarra-iškun in Babylonia must be prior to 616 (=10th year of Nabū-apla-uşur), since judging from the events related in Gadd's chronicle for the years 616-612 the Assyrians had no longer any foothold in Babylonia during these 5 years. Sin-šarra-iškun's reign therefore lasted as least 12 years (=7+5). The most extreme limit for x would be 5 years (628-624), namely, in case no additional regnal years are to be added to the known reigns of ASSūr-bini-apli (=x), ASSūr-etci-likni (=y), and Sin-sunn-Bir (=x).

36. Gadd, The Fall of Ninesch, Pis. 1 ff., ll. 38 ff.: End of Sin-Sarra-Ekun's reign in the 14th year of Nabû-apla-mur (=612).

37. According to Gadd's assumption, which is followed above, Aššūr-uballit was the immediate successor of Sin-šarra-iškum. Gadd therefore supplies his name in 1. 49 of his chronicle before the words (in 1. 50): "in the city of Harran as king of Aššūr accended the throne." It is strange, however, that if Aššūr-uballit's accession to the throne was related already in the section reporting the events of 612, he should be referred to in 1. 60, in the section relating the events of 610 (=Nabū-apla-uṣur's 16th year), as "a[n-ā-in-aballit]-it id in and ai-iur ina ai-ru ui-ii-bi, "Aššūr-uballit, who had ascended the throne in the land Aššūr," instead of simply as "king of Aššūr." as he actually is referred to in the immediately following section which relates the events of 609. As long as we have no ambiguous evidence, it may therefore be advisable to keep in mind that the king who according to II. 49 f. in 612 ascended the Assyrian throne in Harrân perhaps may not have been Aššūr-uballit but another Assyrian nobleman. If this should turn out to be correct, the list would end as follows:

117. 1 611 118. Aššūr-uballit II 3 (+x?) 610-608(-x)

38. Gadd, op. cit., ll. 53 ff. (15th year of Nabû-apla-uşur); ll. 58 ff. (16th year); ll. 16 ff. (17th year), and l. 76, catchline for the next tablet (18th year). Note, however, that we know nothing about the contents of the section on Nabû-apla-uşur's 18th year and that therefore it cannot be stated with absolute certainty that Aššūrubālit still occupied the throne in 608.

ORIENTAL INSTITUTE
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO